

Our Proprietary Approach to Strategic Asset Allocation

For decades, investment professionals have believed that the best way to maximize long-term returns and minimize risk is to diversify portfolios across a broad range of asset classes. Since various asset classes such as equities, bonds, commodities and real estate seemed superficially different, it was long thought that a portfolio divided among many asset classes was the best way to weather the ups and downs of different market conditions. However, the recent financial crisis proved disappointing to many investors in part because this approach to strategic asset allocation turned out to be far riskier than previously understood.

The Investment Strategy Group at Goldman Sachs has developed a new approach to strategic asset allocation that focuses not only on diversification across asset classes but also on diversification across the underlying sources of returns, which we call "return-generating factors." In our model, each of the factors is associated with a long-term risk premium that is expected to reward investors who choose to take the risk. Much like the nutrients in our food - carbohydrates, proteins, fats - that make up the composition of our daily calorie intake, factor risk premia are the building blocks of long-term portfolio returns. While a chocolate candy bar might have the same amount of calories as a cereal bar, it may be saturated with carbohydrates and fat. Keeping the right balance is key to longterm success and well being, whether its nutrition or investing.

With this new factor-based approach, we are able to improve our estimates of potential long-term returns across asset classes and to better understand the extent of portfolio diversification each asset class provides - even in the most extreme of market conditions. Combined with our robust portfolio optimization technique, we are then able to construct well-diversified portfolios that we believe are more carefully tailored to our clients' investment goals and preferences and whose long-term risk and return characteristics are expected to be superior to portfolios constructed using traditional approaches.

The approach moreover enables us to generate portfolio risk analytics and stress tests aimed to better capture severe downside risks, including extreme events such as the 2008-09 financial crisis. It also helps us better understand the behavior of portfolios in different economic conditions, such as when interest rates eventually begin to rise from the current low levels.

Brief History of Asset Allocation

Traditional approaches to asset allocation build on an idea known as the Modern Portfolio Theory, which originated in an article by Nobel prize-winning economist Harry Markowitz in 1952. The theory outlines how an investor can achieve the highest rate of return with the lowest possible risk by investing in assets whose prices tend to move independently of one another. For example, adding high-grade bonds to a portfolio of equities should reduce the overall risk of the portfolio because when the stock market falls, bonds often rally.

A decade later, building on Markowitz' insights, another Nobel laureate economist William Sharpe helped derive a framework called Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), which enabled investors to estimate the prospective returns of different investments based on their exposure to a single risk factor, the equity market. The CAPM soon became the default tool for understanding why some assets should reward investors with higher returns than others. In the early 1990s, Fischer Black and Bob Litterman of Goldman Sachs refined the ideas of Markowitz and Sharpe in their equilibrium model that allowed investors to combine their own return expectations with the CAPM's estimates. The resulting Black-Litterman model remains an industry standard among practitioners today.

While the majority of the asset management industry was using these traditional frameworks, a new set of techniques with promising future applications in investment management was cropping up elsewhere. In the emerging hedge fund industry, a breed of quantitative equity funds was increasingly using *multi*-factor models for stock selection. In the field of stochastic control, aerospace engineers among others were embracing a technique called robust optimization to calibrate efficient flight paths for instruments such as missiles. These techniques became the key building blocks of the new asset allocation approach developed by the Goldman Sachs Investment Strategy Group.

Challenges to Traditional Asset Allocation Approaches

During the financial crisis of 2008-09, traditional asset allocation theories faced a serious challenge as asset classes such as hedge fund strategies, commodities and real estate that were previously thought to be largely uncorrelated suddenly started falling with the crashing equity market. Disappointed investors asked: If adding multiple asset classes to a portfolio does not provide adequate diversification, what does? Here, understanding the different risk factors that ultimately drive the prices of assets turns out to be very helpful.

The possibility that the stock market might lose money is just one type of risk. There are many other risk factors or components of risk. For example, the possibility that the Federal Open Market Committee might raise interest rates is another risk that can affect the prices of a number of different investments. Changes in exchange rates pose yet another risk for a global investor.

Traditional asset allocation approaches are based on one-factor models where the single factor is the market risk. Therefore, they ignore the other dimensions of risk, including the seemingly hidden risks that make asset prices move in near lockstep in times of crisis. The traditional one-dimensional approach is quite simply insufficient to address the complexity of the investment universe for today's global investors.

Our new multi-factor approach to asset allocation begins by acknowledging that the investment universe is complex. Just as the names of different foods tell us little about their nutritional contents, the names of asset classes typically tell us little about the risks involved, let alone identifying the risks that are worth taking. The use of multiple factors helps us better understand this complexity and the associated risks, including rare extreme events often referred to as *tail risks*.

Investing in a Multi-Factor World

Our new approach uses six different factors to describe the key drivers of asset price fluctuations in the global investment universe. They are: (i) market risk; (ii) inflation and interest rate risk; (iii) the risk in short-term funding conditions; (iv) displayed below each profile.



Exhibit 1: Examples of Risk Premium Profiles for Selected Asset Classes Note: The total estimated risk premium and the associated standard error are

the risk of changes in market liquidity; (v) foreign exchange risk; and (vi) risks specific to emerging market countries.

Importantly, every one of these six factors not only drives price fluctuations but is also a source of possible long-term returns for a global investor. That is, there is a clear economic rationale for why investors should be rewarded for taking each of the risks. As a result, the model helps us identify the risks that may be worth taking and steer clear of those risks that only add volatility to the portfolio. Moreover, each factor is largely independent of the others, which is key to constructing diversified portfolios.

As an example of how the multi-factor approach helps us better understand the sources of return even within complex asset classes, consider macro/tactical hedge funds. These funds try to profit from movements in global financial markets via long and short positions in assets such as bonds, currencies and commodities, often using a substantial amount of leverage. Their strategies help ensure that they have limited exposures to stock market fluctuations. As a result, traditional models that rely on the equity factor alone predict that the average macro/tactical hedge fund should earn only a small reward – as little as 0.2%per year above riskless investments like Treasury bills. The past performance of these funds has of course been far superior. In contrast, using our six-factor model, we estimate that a typical macro/ tactical fund should earn a long-term risk premium of about 3% above riskless investments - a number that more accurately reflects their return-generating potential. (Exhibit 1)

Moreover, as shown in Exhibit 1, the six-factor approach helps us understand where this return-generating potential is expected to come from: the returns on macro/tactical funds derive primarily from exposures to interest rate risk,



Exhibit 3: Robust Optimization Acknowledges that Expected Returns are Uncertain



Traditional Optimization



Robust Optimization



funding risk, foreign exchange risk, and emerging market risk, as revealed by their *risk premium profile*.

Exhibit 1 also displays risk premium profiles for two other asset classes: investment-grade bonds and private real estate. While the equity premium is very low in all three, the other five remaining factor premiums stand out. These examples help illustrate that each asset class has a unique risk premium profile, which creates a distinct investment identity that is almost completely ignored by traditional investment approaches that rely solely on the equity risk premium. Since each risk premium has the potential to generate returns, it can be seen that wealth accumulation may be possible even when the equity market is flat over the investment horizon.

This is an important insight that can be directly applied to portfolio construction, as illustrated in Exhibit 2 for two hypothetical portfolios. The portfolio on the left appears to be more diversified from a traditional asset allocation perspective. But if you look closely at the portfolio on the right, it is substantially more diversified in terms of the return-generating power of all six risk premia. Allocating across a balanced risk premium profile is vital because not all factors generate returns all of the time. Over the last decade, for example, the risk premium of equities has fallen significantly short of its longterm average. But in that same period, a well-diversified portfolio would have continued to harvest returns from the other five risk premia in our model.

Importance of Uncertainties

While well-designed models can be useful in better understanding the drivers of risk and return across asset classes, it is important to acknowledge that no model perfectly describes the world. Importantly, significant uncertainties remain that must be accounted for in a thoughtful portfolio construction process. Our new robust portfolio optimization framework seeks to explicitly account for such errors in our expected return estimates, which are the most important inputs to successful portfolio construction. For example, the risk premium we estimate for Emerging Market Local Debt is 5.7%, but it comes with an uncertainty of plus or minus 3.8%. That means the long-term average return above Treasury bills could potentially be as low as 1.9% or as high as 9.5%. It is clear that uncertainty can have a profound impact on average returns.

Crucially, traditional portfolio optimization processes based on the mean-variance approach of Markowitz completely ignore errors in expected return estimates, typically resulting in highly undiversified allocations, as illustrated in Exhibit 3. Academic studies have demonstrated that such portfolios tend to deliver poor realized performance outcomes. That is, mean-variance optimization tends to *overpromise* and *underdeliver*. On the contrary, the realized average returns of portfolios constructed with our robust optimization process are closer to their expected returns.

Taken together, our six-factor model and robust optimization framework allows us to build portfolios that are better diversified across both asset classes and risk premia, helping us better address each investor's individual investment goals and preferences.

Art and Science of Asset Allocation

Although we believe we have pushed the scientific envelope of strategic asset allocation techniques, the holistic process of asset allocation remains a combination of both art and science. Investment expertise and qualitative judgment are particularly important in understanding individual investors' specific goals and softer preferences. But the entire process of strategic asset allocation has become more exact, which is a goal investment professionals have long sought to achieve. Thank you for reviewing this publication. It is intended to provide high level discussion on topical items and is not provided as individual advice. Please review the important information below.

Our Relationship with Clients We may act as an investment adviser or as a broker-dealer depending on our relationship with you, and may act as both for some clients. Our role and obligations will vary depending on the capacity in which we act. Where we act as an investment adviser, our primary role is to give you advice, help you manage your investments or help you hire another adviser to do so. Where we act as a broker, our primary role is to execute trades for you based on your instructions, and any advice we give you is incidental to our brokerage services. How we are compensated by you (and sometimes by issuers or managers of investments who compensate us based on what you buy) and how your Private Wealth Advisor is compensated will vary depending on whether you have an advisory or brokerage account and on the investments we or you make in your account, and may change over time. Please ask us questions to make sure you understand your rights and our obligations to you, the difference between advisory and brokerage accounts and / or how we are compensated based on the capacity in which we act.

Entities Providing Services Strategic

wealth advisory and brokerage services are provided by Goldman, Sachs & Co., member FINRA/SIPC. Financial counseling services are provided by The Ayco Company, L.P., a Goldman Sachs Company. Deposit products are offered by Goldman Sachs Bank USA, member FDIC. Securities and investments other than deposit products are not offered by Goldman Sachs Bank USA and are not FDIC insured. Loans may be offered by Goldman Sachs Bank USA, Goldman, Sachs & Co. or one of its affiliates. Trust services are provided by The Goldman Sachs Trust Company, N.A. or The Goldman Sachs Trust Company of Delaware.

Risks of Investing in Securities / Risk Management Investments in securities involve

risk and the value of investments and income derived from such investments may fluctuate. Our risk management process does not imply low risk and is not a guarantee against loss.

Investment Strategy Group The Investment Strategy Group (ISG) is focused on asset allocation strategy formation and market analysis for Private Wealth Management. Any information that references ISG, including their model portfolios, represents the views of ISG, is not research and is not a product of Global Investment Research or Goldman Sachs Asset Management, LP (GSAM). The views and opinions expressed may differ from those expressed by other groups of Goldman Sachs. If shown, ISG Model Portfolios are provided for illustrative purposes only. Your asset allocation, tactical tilts and portfolio performance may look significantly different based on your particular circumstances and risk tolerance.

Forecasts Any economic and market forecasts presented herein reflect our judgment as of the date of this material and are subject to change without notice. Forecasts are estimated, based on assumptions, and are subject to significant revision and may change materially as economic and market conditions change. Goldman Sachs has no obligation to provide updates or changes to these forecasts. Case studies and examples are for illustrative purposes only.

Legal Entities Disseminating this Material

This material has been approved for issue in the United Kingdom solely for the purposes of Section 21 of the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 by Goldman Sachs International ("GSI"), Peterborough Court, 133 Fleet Street, London EC4A 2BB authorised and regulated by the Financial Services Authority;by Goldman Sachs Canada, in connection with its distribution in Canada; in the US by Goldman Sachs, & Co.; in Hong Kong by Goldman Sachs (Asia) L.L.C., Seoul Branch; in Japan by Goldman Sachs (Japan) Ltd.; in Australia by Goldman Sachs Australia Pty Limited (ACN 092 589 770); in Singapore by Goldman Sachs (Singapore) Pte.; and in Brazil by Goldman Sachs do Brasil Banco Múltiplo S.A.

No Distribution; No Offer or Solicitation

This material may not, without Goldman Sachs' prior written consent, be (i) copied, photocopied or duplicated in any form, by any means, or (ii) distributed to any person that is not an employee, officer, director, or authorized agent of the recipient. This material is not an offer or solicitation with respect to the purchase or sale of any security in any jurisdiction in which such offer or solicitation is not authorized or to any person to whom it would be unlawful to make such offer or solicitation.

Tax Information Goldman Sachs does not provide legal, tax or accounting advice. Any statement contained in this presentation concerning US tax matters is not intended or written to be used and cannot be used for the purpose of avoiding penalties imposed on the relevant taxpayer. You should obtain your own independent tax advice based on your particular circumstances.

For the Planning Matters article, information related to amounts and rates set forth under U.S. tax laws are drawn from current public sources, including the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, as well as regulations and other public pronouncements of the U.S. Treasury Department and Internal Revenue Service. Such information may be subject to change without notice. In some cases, rates may be estimated and may vary based on your particular circumstances.

For the Feature article, hedge funds and other private investment funds (collectively, "Alternative Investments") are subject to less regulation than other types of pooled investment vehicles such as mutual funds. Alternative Investments may impose significant fees, including incentive fees that are based upon a percentage of the realized and unrealized gains and an individual's net returns may differ significantly from actual returns. Such fees may offset all or a significant portion of such Alternative Investment's trading profits. Alternative Investments are not required to provide periodic pricing or valuation information. Investors may have limited rights with respect to their investments, including limited voting rights and participation in the management of such Alternative Investments.

Alternative Investments often engage in leverage and other investment practices that are extremely speculative and involve a high degree of risk. Such practices may increase the volatility of performance and the risk of investment loss, including the loss of the entire amount that is invested. There may be conflicts of interest relating to the Alternative Investment and its service providers, including Goldman Sachs and its affiliates. Similarly, interests in an Alternative Investment are highly illiquid and generally are not transferable without the consent of the sponsor, and applicable securities and tax laws will limit transfers.

Conflicts of Interest There may be conflicts of interest relating to the Alternative Investment and its service providers, including Goldman Sachs and its affiliates. These activities and interests include potential multiple advisory, transactional and other interests in securities and instruments that may be purchased or sold by the Alternative Investment. These are considerations of which investors should be aware and additional information relating to these conflicts is set forth in the offering materials for the Alternative Investment.

This material has been prepared by GSAM and is not a product of Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research. The views and opinions expressed may differ from those of Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research or other departments or divisions of Goldman Sachs and its affiliates. Investors are urged to consult with their financial advisors before buying or selling any securities. This information may not be current and GSAM has no obligation to provide any updates or changes.

The portfolio risk management process includes an effort to monitor and manage risk, but does not imply low risk.

© 2013 Goldman Sachs. All rights reserved.

